

EXHIBIT 12

COOLEY LLP
MICHAEL G. RHODES (116127) (mrhodes@cooley.com)
MATTHEW D. BROWN (196972) (brownmd@cooley.com)
JEFFREY M. GUTKIN (216083) (gutkinjm@cooley.com)
101 California Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-5800
Telephone: (415) 693-2000
Facsimile: (415) 693-2222

FACEBOOK, INC.
COLIN S. STRETCH (205144) (colin@fb.com)
SANDEEP N. SOLANKI (244005) (ssolanki@fb.com)
1601 S. California Ave.
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Telephone: (650) 853-1300
Facsimile: (650) 543-4800

Attorneys for Defendant FACEBOOK, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

ANGEL FRALEY; PAUL WANG; SUSAN
MAINZER; JAMES H. DUVAL, a minor, by
and through JAMES DUVAL, as Guardian ad
Litem; and WILLIAM TAIT, a minor, by and
through RUSSELL TAIT, as Guardian ad
Litem; individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FACEBOOK, INC., a corporation; and DOES
1-100,

Defendants.

Case No. CV 11-01726 LHK (PSG)

**DEFENDANT FACEBOOK, INC.'S
RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO
PLAINTIFFS' INTERROGATORIES**

SET TWO

PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

INTERROGATORIES NO. 16 AND 17 DESIGNATED:

**HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**

INTERROGATORY NO.16:

As of the date of YOUR response to these interrogatories, how many MEMBERS have had their NAME or LIKENESS appear in a SPONSORED STORY?

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY No. 16:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that Plaintiffs' defined terms "MEMBERS" and "SPONSORED STORY" are overly broad, vague, and ambiguous for the reasons set forth in General Objection Numbers 11 and 12, incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein. Defendant further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that Plaintiffs' defined term "YOUR" is overly broad for the reasons set forth in General Objection Number 14, incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein. Defendant will construe "MEMBERS," "SPONSORED STORIES," and "YOUR" as set forth in its General Objections. Defendant further objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that the term "LIKENESS" is vague and ambiguous, in that it is presented as a defined term, but is not actually defined in these interrogatories. For the purpose of responding to this Interrogatory, Facebook will interpret the term LIKENESS to mean profile picture. Subject to and without waiving the General and Specific Objections, Defendant responds as follows:

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Based on a reasonable inquiry, Facebook estimates that the names and/or profile pictures of approximately 71.1 million unique Facebook users that Facebook can reasonably ascertain are located in the United States appeared in Sponsored Stories, for the period January 25, 2011 to August 15, 2011. This number includes users that are also counted in the number provided in response to Interrogatory No. 17. Facebook is not able to practicably determine whether a Facebook user's profile picture contains a recognizable image of the user or whether the name appearing in a story is the same as the user's given or real name. By providing this response, Facebook does not concede, implicitly or otherwise, that this information is relevant to this action.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Facebook's investigation and discovery are ongoing. Facebook reserves the right to supplement and amend this response, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e) or otherwise, and, if necessary, to assert additional objections arising from further investigation or discovery.

INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

As of the date of YOUR response to these interrogatories, how many MEMBERS have had their NAME or LIKENESS appear in a SPONSORED STORY, who were below the age of 18 at the time of that appearance?

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that Plaintiffs' defined terms "MEMBERS" and "SPONSORED STORY" are overly broad, vague, and ambiguous for the reasons set forth in General Objection Numbers 11 and 12, incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein. Defendant further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that Plaintiffs' defined term "YOUR" is overly broad for the reasons set forth in General Objection Number 14, incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein. Defendant will construe "MEMBERS," "SPONSORED STORIES," and "YOUR" as set forth in its General Objections. Defendant further objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that the term "LIKENESS" is vague and ambiguous, in that it is presented as a defined term, but is not actually defined in these interrogatories. For the purpose of responding to this Interrogatory, Facebook will interpret the term LIKENESS to mean profile picture. Subject to and without waiving the General and Specific Objections, Defendant responds as follows:

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Based on a reasonable inquiry, Facebook estimates that the names and/or profile pictures of approximately 10.9 million unique Facebook users that Facebook can reasonably ascertain are located in the United States and that Facebook can reasonably determine, based on the information provided by the users, were under the age of 18 at the time the user's name and/or